

Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers

Ernest K. Ryu

MATH 273A: Optimization Theory
University of California, Los Angeles
Department of Mathematics

source:

Distributed Optimization and Statistical Learning via the Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (Boyd, Parikh, Chu, Peleato, Eckstein)

Goals

robust methods for

- ▶ arbitrary-scale optimization
 - machine learning/statistics with huge data-sets
 - dynamic optimization on large-scale network
 - computer vision
- ▶ decentralized optimization
 - devices/processors/agents coordinate to solve large problem, by passing relatively small messages

Outline

Dual decomposition

Method of multipliers

Alternating direction method of multipliers

Common patterns

Examples

Conclusions

Outline

Dual decomposition

Method of multipliers

Alternating direction method of multipliers

Common patterns

Examples

Conclusions

Dual problem

- convex equality constrained optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b\end{array}$$

- Lagrangian: $L(x, y) = f(x) + y^T(Ax - b)$
- dual function: $g(y) = \inf_x L(x, y)$
- dual problem: maximize $g(y)$
- recover $x^* = \operatorname{argmin}_x L(x, y^*)$

Dual ascent

- ▶ gradient method for dual problem: $y^{k+1} = y^k + \alpha^k \nabla g(y^k)$
- ▶ $\nabla g(y^k) = A\tilde{x} - b$, where $\tilde{x} = \operatorname{argmin}_x L(x, y^k)$
- ▶ dual ascent method is

$$x^{k+1} := \operatorname{argmin}_x L(x, y^k) \quad // \textit{x-minimization}$$

$$y^{k+1} := y^k + \alpha^k (Ax^{k+1} - b) \quad // \textit{dual update}$$

- ▶ works, with lots of strong assumptions

Dual decomposition

- ▶ suppose f is separable:

$$f(x) = f_1(x_1) + \cdots + f_N(x_N), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

- ▶ then L is separable in x :

$$L(x, y) = L_1(x_1, y) + \cdots + L_N(x_N, y) - y^T b,$$

$$L_i(x_i, y) = f_i(x_i) + y^T A_i x_i$$

- ▶ x -minimization in dual ascent splits into N separate minimizations

$$x_i^{k+1} := \operatorname{argmin}_{x_i} L_i(x_i, y^k)$$

which can be carried out in parallel

Dual decomposition

- ▶ dual decomposition (Everett, Dantzig, Wolfe, Benders 1960–65)

$$x_i^{k+1} := \operatorname{argmin}_{x_i} L_i(x_i, y^k), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$y^{k+1} := y^k + \alpha^k (\sum_{i=1}^N A_i x_i^{k+1} - b)$$

- ▶ scatter y^k ; update x_i in parallel; gather $A_i x_i^{k+1}$
- ▶ solve a large problem
 - by iteratively solving subproblems (in parallel)
 - dual variable update provides coordination
- ▶ works, with lots of assumptions; often slow

Outline

Dual decomposition

Method of multipliers

Alternating direction method of multipliers

Common patterns

Examples

Conclusions

Method of multipliers

- ▶ a method to robustify dual ascent
- ▶ use **augmented Lagrangian** (Hestenes, Powell 1969), $\rho > 0$

$$L_\rho(x, y) = f(x) + y^T(Ax - b) + (\rho/2)\|Ax - b\|_2^2$$

- ▶ method of multipliers (Hestenes, Powell; analysis in Bertsekas 1982)

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &:= \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_\rho(x, y^k) \\ y^{k+1} &:= y^k + \rho(Ax^{k+1} - b)\end{aligned}$$

(note specific dual update step length ρ)

Method of multipliers dual update step

- ▶ optimality conditions (for differentiable f):

$$Ax^* - b = 0, \quad \nabla f(x^*) + A^T y^* = 0$$

(primal and dual feasibility)

- ▶ since x^{k+1} minimizes $L_\rho(x, y^k)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_x L_\rho(x^{k+1}, y^k) \\ &= \nabla_x f(x^{k+1}) + A^T (y^k + \rho(Ax^{k+1} - b)) \\ &= \nabla_x f(x^{k+1}) + A^T y^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ dual update $y^{k+1} = y^k + \rho(x^{k+1} - b)$ makes (x^{k+1}, y^{k+1}) *dual feasible*
- ▶ *primal feasibility* achieved in limit: $Ax^{k+1} - b \rightarrow 0$

Method of multipliers

(compared to dual decomposition)

- ▶ *good news*: converges under much more relaxed conditions (f can be nondifferentiable, take on value $+\infty$, ...)
- ▶ *bad news*: quadratic penalty destroys splitting of the x -update, so can't do decomposition

Outline

Dual decomposition

Method of multipliers

Alternating direction method of multipliers

Common patterns

Examples

Conclusions

Alternating direction method of multipliers

- ▶ a method
 - with good robustness of method of multipliers
 - which can support decomposition
- ▶ “robust dual decomposition” or “decomposable method of multipliers”
- ▶ proposed by Gabay, Mercier, Glowinski, Marrocco in 1976

Alternating direction method of multipliers

- ▶ ADMM problem form (with f, g convex)

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & f(x) + g(z) \\ \text{subject to} & Ax + Bz = c\end{array}$$

- two sets of variables, with separable objective

- ▶ $L_\rho(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + y^T(Ax + Bz - c) + (\rho/2)\|Ax + Bz - c\|_2^2$

- ▶ ADMM:

$$x^{k+1} := \operatorname{argmin}_x L_\rho(x, z^k, y^k) \quad // \text{ } x\text{-minimization}$$

$$z^{k+1} := \operatorname{argmin}_z L_\rho(x^{k+1}, z, y^k) \quad // \text{ } z\text{-minimization}$$

$$y^{k+1} := y^k + \rho(Ax^{k+1} + Bz^{k+1} - c) \quad // \text{ dual update}$$

Alternating direction method of multipliers

- ▶ if we minimized over x and z jointly, reduces to method of multipliers
- ▶ instead, we do one pass of a Gauss-Seidel method
- ▶ we get splitting since we minimize over x with z fixed, and vice versa

ADMM and optimality conditions

- ▶ optimality conditions (for differentiable case):
 - primal feasibility: $Ax + Bz - c = 0$
 - dual feasibility: $\nabla f(x) + A^T y = 0, \quad \nabla g(z) + B^T y = 0$

- ▶ since z^{k+1} minimizes $L_\rho(x^{k+1}, z, y^k)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla g(z^{k+1}) + B^T y^k + \rho B^T (Ax^{k+1} + Bz^{k+1} - c) \\ &= \nabla g(z^{k+1}) + B^T y^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ so with ADMM dual variable update, $(x^{k+1}, z^{k+1}, y^{k+1})$ satisfies second dual feasibility condition
- ▶ primal and first dual feasibility are achieved as $k \rightarrow \infty$

ADMM with scaled dual variables

- combine linear and quadratic terms in augmented Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}L_{\rho}(x, z, y) &= f(x) + g(z) + y^T(Ax + Bz - c) + (\rho/2)\|Ax + Bz - c\|_2^2 \\ &= f(x) + g(z) + (\rho/2)\|Ax + Bz - c + u\|_2^2 + \text{const.}\end{aligned}$$

with $u^k = (1/\rho)y^k$

- ADMM (scaled dual form):

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &:= \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(f(x) + (\rho/2)\|Ax + Bz^k - c + u^k\|_2^2 \right) \\ z^{k+1} &:= \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(g(z) + (\rho/2)\|Ax^{k+1} + Bz - c + u^k\|_2^2 \right) \\ u^{k+1} &:= u^k + (Ax^{k+1} + Bz^{k+1} - c)\end{aligned}$$

Convergence

- ▶ assume (very little!)
 - f, g convex, closed, proper
 - L_0 has a saddle point
- ▶ then ADMM converges:
 - iterates approach feasibility: $Ax^k + Bz^k - c \rightarrow 0$
 - objective approaches optimal value: $f(x^k) + g(z^k) \rightarrow p^*$

Related algorithms

- ▶ operator splitting methods
(Douglas, Peaceman, Rachford, Lions, Mercier, ... 1950s, 1979)
- ▶ Dykstra's alternating projections algorithm (1983)
- ▶ Spingarn's method of partial inverses (1985)
- ▶ Rockafellar-Wets progressive hedging (1991)
- ▶ proximal methods (Rockafellar, many others, 1976–)
- ▶ saddle-point proximal methods (Chambolle, Pock 2005–)
- ▶ Bregman iterative methods (2008–)
- ▶ most of these are special cases of the proximal point algorithm (Rockafellar 1976)

Outline

Dual decomposition

Method of multipliers

Alternating direction method of multipliers

Common patterns

Examples

Conclusions

Common patterns

- ▶ x -update step requires minimizing $f(x) + (\rho/2)\|Ax - v\|_2^2$
(with $v = Bz^k - c + u^k$, which is constant during x -update)
- ▶ similar for z -update
- ▶ several special cases come up often
- ▶ can simplify update by exploiting structure in these cases

Decomposition

- ▶ suppose f is block-separable,

$$f(x) = f_1(x_1) + \cdots + f_N(x_N), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

- ▶ A is conformably block separable: $A^T A$ is block diagonal
- ▶ then x -update splits into N parallel updates of x_i

Proximal operator

- ▶ consider x -update when $A = I$

$$x^+ = \operatorname{argmin}_x (f(x) + (\rho/2)\|x - v\|_2^2) = \mathbf{prox}_{f,\rho}(v)$$

- ▶ some special cases:

$$f = I_C \text{ (indicator fct. of set } C) \quad x^+ := \Pi_C(v) \text{ (projection onto } C)$$

$$f = \lambda \|\cdot\|_1 \text{ (}\ell_1 \text{ norm)} \quad x_i^+ := S_{\lambda/\rho}(v_i) \text{ (soft thresholding)}$$

$$(S_a(v) = (v - a)_+ - (-v - a)_+)$$

Quadratic objective

- ▶ $f(x) = (1/2)x^T Px + q^T x + r$
- ▶ $x^+ := (P + \rho A^T A)^{-1}(\rho A^T v - q)$
- ▶ use matrix inversion lemma when computationally advantageous

$$(P + \rho A^T A)^{-1} = P^{-1} - \rho P^{-1} A^T (I + \rho A P^{-1} A^T)^{-1} A P^{-1}$$

- ▶ (direct method) cache factorization of $P + \rho A^T A$ (or $I + \rho A P^{-1} A^T$)
- ▶ (iterative method) warm start, early stopping, reducing tolerances

Smooth objective

- ▶ f smooth
- ▶ can use standard methods for smooth minimization
 - gradient, Newton, or quasi-Newton
 - preconditioned CG, limited-memory BFGS (scale to very large problems)
- ▶ can exploit
 - warm start
 - early stopping, with tolerances decreasing as ADMM proceeds

Outline

Dual decomposition

Method of multipliers

Alternating direction method of multipliers

Common patterns

Examples

Conclusions

Constrained convex optimization

- ▶ consider ADMM for generic problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & x \in \mathcal{C}\end{array}$$

- ▶ ADMM form: take g to be indicator of \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & f(x) + g(z) \\ \text{subject to} & x - z = 0\end{array}$$

- ▶ algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &:= \operatorname{argmin}_x (f(x) + (\rho/2)\|x - z^k + u^k\|_2^2) \\ z^{k+1} &:= \Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x^{k+1} + u^k) \\ u^{k+1} &:= u^k + x^{k+1} - z^{k+1}\end{aligned}$$

Lasso

- ▶ lasso problem:

$$\text{minimize} \quad (1/2)\|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \lambda\|x\|_1$$

- ▶ ADMM form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} \quad (1/2)\|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \lambda\|z\|_1 \\ &\text{subject to} \quad x - z = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ ADMM:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1} &:= (A^T A + \rho I)^{-1}(A^T b + \rho z^k - y^k) \\ z^{k+1} &:= S_{\lambda/\rho}(x^{k+1} + y^k/\rho) \\ y^{k+1} &:= y^k + \rho(x^{k+1} - z^{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Lasso example

- ▶ example with dense $A \in \mathbb{R}^{1500 \times 5000}$
(1500 measurements; 5000 regressors)

- ▶ computation times

factorization (same as ridge regression)	1.3s
subsequent ADMM iterations	0.03s
lasso solve (about 50 ADMM iterations)	2.9s
full regularization path (30 λ 's)	4.4s

- ▶ not bad for a *very short* Matlab script

Outline

Dual decomposition

Method of multipliers

Alternating direction method of multipliers

Common patterns

Examples

Conclusions

Summary and conclusions

ADMM

- ▶ is the same as, or closely related to, many methods with other names
- ▶ has been around since the 1970s
- ▶ gives simple single-processor algorithms that can be competitive with state-of-the-art
- ▶ can be used to coordinate many processors, each solving a substantial problem, to solve a very large problem