Mathematical Foundations of Deep Neural Networks, M1407.001200 E. Ryu Fall 2022



## Homework 10 Due 5pm, Wednesday, November 16, 2022

**Problem 1:** Log-derivative trick for VAE. Let  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^k$  be a random variable. Let  $q_{\phi}(z)$  be a probability density function for all  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Assume  $q_{\phi}(z)$  is differentiable in  $\phi$  for all fixed  $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Let  $h \colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfy h(z) > 0 for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Assume that the order of integration and differentiation can be swapped. Show

$$\nabla_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q_{\phi}(z)} \left[ \log \left( \frac{h(Z)}{q_{\phi}(Z)} \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q_{\phi}(z)} \left[ \left( \nabla_{\phi} \log q_{\phi}(Z) \right) \log \left( \frac{h(Z)}{q_{\phi}(Z)} \right) \right].$$

*Hint.* Since  $q_{\phi}(z)$  is a probability density function,

$$\int \nabla_{\phi} q_{\phi}(z) \, dz = \nabla_{\phi} \int q_{\phi}(z) \, dz = \nabla_{\phi} 1 = 0.$$

Problem 2: Projected gradient method. Consider the optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} & x \in C, \end{array}$$

where  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Constrained optimization problems of this type can be solved with the *projected* gradient method

$$x^{k+1} = \prod_C (x^k - \alpha \nabla f(x^k)),$$

where  $\Pi_C$  is the projection onto C. The projection of  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as the point in C that is closest to y:

$$\Pi_C(y) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x \in C} \|x - y\|^2$$

For the particular set

$$C = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \, | \, x_1 = a, \, 0 \le x_2 \le 1 \},\$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , show that

$$\Pi_C(y) = \begin{bmatrix} a\\ \min\{\max\{y_2, 0\}, 1\} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $y = (y_1, y_2)$ .



Figure 1: The original, corrupted, and inpainted MNIST image.

**Problem 3:** Image inpainting with flow models. Assume we have a trained flow model that we use to evaluate the likelihood function p. (Since we will not further train or update the flow model, we supress the network parameter  $\theta$  and write p rather than  $p_{\theta}$ .) The starter code flow\_inpainting.py loads a NICE flow model pre-trained on the MNIST dataset saved in nice.pt. Let  $X_{\text{true}} \in \mathbb{R}^{28 \times 28}$  be an MNIST image with pixel intensities normalized to be in [0, 1]. Let  $M = \{0, 1\}^{28 \times 28}$  be a binary mask. We measure  $M \odot X_{\text{true}}$ , where  $\odot$  denotes elementwise multiplication, and the goal is to inpaint the missing information  $(1 - M) \odot X_{\text{true}}$ , where  $1 - M \in \{0, 1\}^{28 \times 28}$  is the inverted mask. (See Figure 1.) Perform inpainting by solving the following constrained maximum likelihood estimation problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{X \in \mathbb{R}^{28 \times 28}}{\text{minimize}} & -\log p(X) \\ \text{subject to} & M \odot X = M \odot X_{\text{true}} \\ & 0 \le X \le 1, \end{array}$$

where  $0 \le X \le 1$  is enforced elementwise. Use the projected gradient method with learning rate  $10^{-3}$  and 300 iterations.

*Hint.* Represent the optimization variable with

## X = image.clone().requires\_grad\_(True)

while preserving image, the tensor containing the corrupted image. When manipulating X in the projection step, manipulate X.data rather than X itself so that the computation graph is not altered by the projection step. Use clamp(...) to enforce the  $0 \le X \le 1$  constraint.

*Remark.* The optimization problem can be interpreted as finding the most likely reconstruction consistent with the measurements.

*Remark.* The NICE paper [2] obtains better inpainting results by using a learning rate scheduler (iteration-dependent stepsize) and adding noise to escape from local minima.

Problem 4: Ingredients of Glow [1]. Let

$$A = PL(U + \operatorname{diag}(s)) \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C},$$

where  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C}$  is a permutation matrix,  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C}$  is a lower triangular matrix with unit diagonals,  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C}$  is upper triangular with zero diagonals, and  $s \in \mathbb{R}^{C}$ . To clarify,  $L_{ii} = 1$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, C$ ,  $L_{ij} = 0$  for  $1 \le i < j \le C$ , and  $U_{ij} = 0$  for  $1 \le j \le i \le C$ .

(a) Let  $f_1(x) = Ax$ . Show

$$\log \left| \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} \right| = \sum_{i=1}^C \log |s_i|.$$

(b) Given  $h: \mathbb{R}^{a \times b \times c} \to \mathbb{R}^{a \times b \times c}$ , define

$$\left|\frac{\partial h(X)}{\partial X}\right| = \left|\frac{\partial (h(X).\operatorname{reshape}(abc))}{\partial (X.\operatorname{reshape}(abc))}\right|.$$

i.e., we define the absolute value of the Jacobian determinant with the input and output tensors vectorized. Note that the reshape operation, which maps elements from the tensor in  $\mathbb{R}^{a \times b \times c}$  to the elements of the vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{abc}$ , is not unique. Show that the definition of  $\left|\frac{\partial h(X)}{\partial X}\right|$  does not depend on the specific choice of reshape.

(c) Let  $f_2(X | P, L, U, s)$  be the  $1 \times 1$  convolution from  $\mathbb{R}^{C \times m \times n}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{C \times m \times n}$  with filter  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C \times 1 \times 1}$  defined as

$$w_{i,i,1,1} = A_{i,j},$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, C, j = 1, \dots, C.$ 

So  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times m \times n}$  and  $f_2(X \mid P, L, U, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times m \times n}$ . (Assume the batch size is 1.) Show

$$\log \left| \frac{\partial f_2(X \mid P, L, U, s)}{\partial X} \right| = mn \sum_{i=1}^C \log |s_i|.$$

(d) Consider the following coupling layer from  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{2C \times m \times n}$  to  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2C \times m \times n}$ :

$$Z_{1:C,:,:} = X_{1:C,:,:}$$
  
$$Z_{C+1:2C,:,:} = f_2(X_{C+1:2C,:,:}|P, L(X_{1:C,:,:}), U(X_{1:C,:,:}), s(X_{1:C,:,:}))$$

where P is a fixed permutation matrix,  $L(\cdot)$  outputs lower triangular matrices with unit diagonals in  $\mathbb{R}^{C \times C}$ ,  $U(\cdot)$  outputs upper triangular matrices with zero diagonals in  $\mathbb{R}^{C \times C}$ , and  $s(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{C}$ . Show

$$\log \left| \frac{\partial Z}{\partial X} \right| = mn \sum_{i=1}^{C} \log |s_i|.$$

*Remark.* Given any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , a decomposition A = PL(U + diag(s)) can be computed via the so-called PLU factorization, which performs steps analogous to Gaussian elimination.

**Problem 5:** Gambler's ruin. You are a gambler at a casino with a starting balance of 100\$. You will play a game in which you bet 1\$ every game. With probability 18/37, you win and collect 2\$ (so you make a 1\$ profit). With probability 19/37, you lose and collect no money. You play until you reach a balance of 0\$ or 200\$ or until you play 600 games. Write a Monte Carlo simulation with importance sampling to estimate the probability that you leave the casino with 200\$. Specifically, simulate playing up to 600 games until you reach the balance of 0\$ or 200\$ and repeat this N = 3000 times.

*Hint.* Regardless of the outcome, simulate K = 600 games. The outcomes of the games form a sequence of Bernoulli random variables with probability mass function

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_K) = \prod_{i=1}^K p^{X_i} (1-p)^{(1-X_i)}$$

and p = 18/37. For the sampling distribution, also use a sequence of Bernoulli random variables with probability mass function

$$g(Y_1, \dots, Y_K) = \prod_{i=1}^K q^{Y_i} (1-q)^{(1-Y_i)}$$

but with q > p. Try using q = 0.55.

*Hint.* The answer is approximately  $2 \times 10^{-6}$ . Submit Python code that produces this answer.

## Problem 6: Solve

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mu,\sigma\in\mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{minimize}} & \mathbb{E}_{X\sim\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2)}[X\sin(X)] + \frac{1}{2}(\mu-1)^2 + \sigma - \log\sigma\\ \text{subject to} & \sigma > 0 \end{array}$$

using SGD combined with

- (a) the log-derivative trick and
- (b) the reparameterization trick.

*Hint.* Use the change of variables  $\sigma = e^{\tau}$  to remove the constraint  $\sigma > 0$ . *Clarification.* Implement SGD in Python and submit the code.

## References

- D. P. Kingma and P. Dhariwal, Glow: Generative flow with invertible 1x1 convolutions, *NeurIPS*, 2018.
- [2] L. Dinh, D. Krueger, and Y. Bengio, NICE: Non-linear independent components estimation, *ICLR Workshop*, 2015.