Mathematical Foundations of Deep Neural Networks, M1407.001200 E. Ryu Spring 2024



## Homework 2 Due 5pm, Monday, March 18, 2024

**Problem 1:** Logistic regression via SGD. Use SGD to solve the logistic regression optimization problem

$$\underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p}{\text{minimize}} \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \log(1 + \exp(-Y_i X_i^{\mathsf{T}} \theta)),$$

where  $X_1, \ldots, X_N \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_N \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Use the data

N, p = 30, 20
np.random.seed(0)
X = np.random.randn(N,p)
Y = 2\*np.random.randint(2, size = N) - 1

where  $X_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \ldots, X_N^{\mathsf{T}}$  are the rows of X.

Problem 2: SVM via SGD. Use SGD to solve the non-differentiable SVM optimization problem

$$\underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p}{\text{minimize}} \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \max\{0, 1 - Y_i X_i^{\mathsf{T}} \theta\} + \lambda \|\theta\|^2,$$

where  $X_1, \ldots, X_N \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_N \in \{-1, 1\}$ , and  $\lambda = 0.1$ . Use the data of Problem 1. Empirically, does the SGD ever encounter a point of non-differentiability?

Problem 3: Consider the data generated by the Python code

```
N=30
np.random.seed(0)
X = np.random.randn(2,N)
y = np.sign(X[0,:]**2+X[1,:]**2-0.7)
theta = 0.5
c, s = np.cos(theta), np.sin(theta)
X = np.array([[c, -s], [s, c]])@X
X = X + np.array([[1],[1]])
```

Observe (by plotting) that the data is not linearly separable. Consider the transformation

$$\phi\left(\begin{bmatrix} u\\v\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\u\\u^2\\v\\v^2\end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the logistic regression or SVM, show that the data  $\phi(X_1), \ldots, \phi(X_N) \in \mathbb{R}^5$  with labels  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_N \in \{-1, +1\}$  is linearly separable. Visualize in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the data and the decision boundary.

*Hint.* Visualize the decision boundary given by

0 == w[0] + w[1] \* x + w[2] \* (x \* \* 2) + w[3] \* y + w[4] \* (y \* \* 2)

with the code

xx = np.linspace(-4, 4, 1024) yy = np.linspace(-4, 4, 1024) xx, yy = np.meshgrid(xx, yy) Z = w[0] + (w[1] \* xx + w[2] \* xx\*\*2) + (w[3] \* yy + w[4] \* yy\*\*2) plt.contour(xx, yy, Z, 0)

*Remark.* This is the basis of kernel methods.

**Problem 4:** Nonnegativity of KL-divergence. A set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is said to be convex if

$$x_1, x_2 \in C \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta x_1 + (1 - \eta) x_2 \in C, \quad \forall \eta \in (0, 1).$$

A function  $\varphi \colon C \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be convex if  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is convex and

$$\varphi(\eta x_1 + (1 - \eta)x_2) \le \eta \varphi(x_1) + (1 - \eta)\varphi(x_2), \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in C, \ \eta \in (0, 1).$$

Jensen's inequality [1] states that if  $X \in C$  is a random variable and  $\varphi$  is convex, then

$$\varphi(\mathbb{E}[X]) \le \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)].$$

Use this to show that

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}(p\|q) \ge 0$$

for any probability mass functions  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Hint.* First show that  $-\log(x)$  is a convex function.

**Problem 5:** Positivity of KL-divergence. A function  $\varphi \colon C \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be strictly convex if  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is convex and

$$\varphi(\eta x_1 + (1 - \eta) x_2) < \eta \varphi(x_1) + (1 - \eta) \varphi(x_2), \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in C, \ x_1 \neq x_2, \ \eta \in (0, 1).$$

Strict Jensen's inequality states that if  $X \in C$  is a non-constant random variable and  $\varphi$  is strictly convex, then

$$\varphi(\mathbb{E}[X]) < \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)].$$

Use this to show that

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}(p||q) > 0$$

for any probability mass functions  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $p \neq q$ .

Problem 6: Differentiating 2-layer neural networks. Consider the 2-layer neural network

$$f_{\theta}(x) = u^{\mathsf{T}}\sigma(ax+b) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} u_j\sigma(a_jx+b_j),$$

where  $a, b, u \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\theta = (a_1, \ldots, a_p, b_1, \ldots, b_p, u_1, \ldots, u_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{3p}$ . Assume the univariate function  $\sigma \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable. The notation  $\sigma(ax + b)$  means  $\sigma$  is applied elementwise to the vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . Show that

$$\nabla_u f_\theta(x) = \sigma(ax+b)$$
  

$$\nabla_b f_\theta(x) = \sigma'(ax+b) \odot u = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma'(ax+b))u$$
  

$$\nabla_a f_\theta(x) = (\sigma'(ax+b) \odot u)x = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma'(ax+b))ux,$$

where  $\sigma'(ax + b)$  means the univariate function  $\sigma'$  is applied elementwise to the vector ax + b,  $\odot$  denotes the element-wise product, and diag(·) denotes the diagonal matrix with the diagonal elements equal to the elements of the input vector.

Problem 7: SGD with 2-layer neural networks. Consider the univariate function

$$f_\star(x) = (x-2)\cos(4x).$$

Let

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} u_j \sigma(a_j x + b_j),$$

be the same 2-layer neural network as in the previous problem. For this problem, use the sigmoid activation function, i.e.,  $\sigma(x) = (1 + e^{-x})^{-1}$ . Given data  $X_i$  generated as IID unit Gaussians and corresponding labels  $Y_i = f_*(X_i)$  for i = 1, ..., N, define loss functions

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ell_{\theta}(X_i, Y_i)$$

and

$$\ell_{\theta}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}(f_{\theta}(X) - Y)^2.$$

Consider the minimization problem

$$\underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{3p}}{\text{minimize}} \quad \mathcal{L}(\theta)$$

Without using PyTorch (so using NumPy), implement

$$i(k) \sim \text{Uniform}\{1, \dots, N\}$$
  
 $\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \ell_{\theta}(X_{i(k)}, Y_{i(k)})$ 

Use the parameters K = 10000,  $\alpha = 0.007$ , N = 30, and p = 50 and use independent initializations with distributions  $a_j^0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 4^2)$ ,  $b_j^0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 4^2)$ , and  $u_j^0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.05^2)$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, p$ . (These parameters and initializations are implemented in the starter code twolayerSGD.py.) Plot the final trained function with  $f_{\theta K}(x)$  as a function of x. How does it compare with  $f_{\star}(x)$ ?

*Remark.* In order to fit the nonlinear function  $f_{\star}$ , it is essential that we use the nonlinear activation function  $\sigma$ ; without it,

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} u_j (a_j x + b_j),$$

will be linear in x, and a linear function cannot approximate the nonlinear function  $f_{\star}(x)$  well.

## References

[1] J. L. W. V. Jensen, Sur les fonctions convexes et les inégalités entre les valeurs moyennes, *Acta Mathematica*, 1906.